

3/6/26

We're proving:

Thm (See Th 3.2.8 and Summary 3.2.9)

Let A be an $n \times m$ matrix. Then the following are equivalent.

(a) The cols are lin. indep.

(b) the equation $(*)$ has only the trivial solution.

(c) $\ker(A) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$.

(d) $\text{rank}(A) = m$

we proved

(a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c)

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (d)

(c) says the lin syst $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has only the trivial soln

$$x_1 = 0$$

$$x_2 = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_m = 0$$

So there are m variables, and there are no free variables.

Row reduce A .

Hence $\text{REF}(A)$ looks like

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{So } \text{rank}(A) = m$$

$$(d) \Rightarrow (a)$$

Assume $\text{rank}(A) = m$, where $m = \# \text{ cols.}$

So $\text{REF}(A)$ has to be the matrix we just saw. So $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has only the trivial sol'n, i.e.

$$c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \cdots + c_m \vec{v}_m = \vec{0} \Rightarrow c_1 = \cdots = c_m = 0$$

By Th 3.2.7, $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ are lin. indep. //

Remark / Theorem (Th. 3.2.10)

Let V be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^P$ and let $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ be a basis.

Let $\vec{v}$ be any element of V .

We know (since a basis spans) there are real numbers $a_1, \dots, a_n$ s.t.

$$a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \cdots + a_n \vec{v}_n = \vec{v}.$$

Assertion of this theorem: there is only one possibility for $a_1, \dots, a_n$.

proof

Say $b_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + b_n \vec{v}_n = \vec{v}$ where not all $a_i = b_i$.

then $(a_1 - b_1) \vec{v}_1 + \dots + (a_n - b_n) \vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$ where not all $a_i - b_i = 0$

But this contradicts linear independence (which holds because $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ form a basis). This is because all $a_i - b_i$ do

$$\text{Lin indep} \Rightarrow a_1 = b_1, \dots, a_n = b_n$$

So each element of V can be written in a unique way as a lin. comb. of basis elements!

Corollary In $\mathbb{R}^n$ the biggest linearly independent set we can find has size n , and such a set exists.

proof

Clearly $\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n$ is a lin indep set, so 2nd statement is true.

Suppose you had a bigger lin. indep set $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{n+1}$. Then

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & | & \dots & | & \vec{v}_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ is an } n \times (n+1) \text{ matrix.}$$

Then $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ is a lin syst with $n+1$ variables and n equations, so there's a nontrivial solution, hence $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{n+1}$ aren't lin. indep //

Note the Remark/Theorem is about a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^p$ (which could be all of $\mathbb{R}^p$ but doesn't need to be) but the Corollary is (for now) about $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let's generalize it. We'll start with that next time.

Theorem (3.3.1)

Let V be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p \in V$ be lin. indep.
and $\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q$ span V . Then $p \leq q$ *should be intuitively clear*